

3-4-1970

CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 03/04/1970

Dianna Mill

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes>

Recommended Citation

Mill, Dianna, "CWU Faculty Senate Minutes - 03/04/1970" (1970). *Faculty Senate Minutes*. 405.
<http://digitalcommons.cwu.edu/fsminutes/405>

This Meeting Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the CWU Faculty Senate Archive at ScholarWorks@CWU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@CWU. For more information, please contact pingfu@cwu.edu.

Minutes: Regular Senate Meeting, 4 March 70
Presiding Officer: James Nylander, Chairman
Secretary: Dianna Mill

78.8

ROLL CALL

Senators Present: All Senators or their alternates were present except
S. Bayless, F. Carlson, C. Condit, A. Lewis, E. Odell.

Others Present: Thomas Dalglish, Stan Bohne, John A. Green, Bernard
L. Martin.

Student Representatives: Jim Duncan, Mike Thomas

AGENDA CHANGES AND APPROVAL

No changes were presented.

MINUTES

Mr. Keller felt the minutes were being edited and that substantial parts were eliminated, especially his and Mr. Burt's comments, from the February 11, 1970, Senate meeting.

The Chairman noted that the comments were well received and would be given consideration.

The Senate minutes of February 4 and 11, 1970, were approved as printed and distributed with the following corrections to the minutes of February 11:

Page 5, second sentence in Miss Michaelson's statement should read, "She said students have only a very limited choice in breadth requirements and free electives..."

Page 5, below Motion No. 636, "Mr. Dudley answered...", rather than "Mr. Duncan answered..."

COMMUNICATIONS

Mr. Nylander read the following communications:

1. A memo from Eugene Kosy, dated February 10, stated that the Department of Business Education and Administrative Management was requesting the Faculty Senate to make a study of the 'blank contracts' and make appropriate recommendations to the administration.

2. A letter from Harold Williams, dated February 20, 1970, proposing to have a committee appointed by the Senate Executive Committee, Deans' Council, and ASC to study the problem of student advisement and to make recommendations.
3. A letter from Charles Hawkins, requesting that his motion relating to the "Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee of Central Washington State College" be placed on the Senate agenda for March 4, 1970.
4. A letter from Eldon Jacobsen, dated March 4, 1970, in regard to the newly adopted contract agreements.
5. A letter from Thomas Dalglish, dated February 27, 1970, transmitting the final "Report of the Grievance Procedures Committee."
6. A letter from the Chairman of the Faculty Executive Committee from Washington State University, stating his committee had sent letters to Washington D.C. concerning S. 1290 and H.R. 9010.
7. Two memorandums from John Green dated February 16 and 20, regarding establishing an Academic Council.

REPORTS

A. Special Reports

1. The "Report of Grievance Procedures Committee" was distributed. The Chairman said the report will be discussed at a later meeting after the Senators have had time to read and study the report.

B. Standing Committees

1. Executive Committee--Mr. Harsha

- a. The Committee discussed the February 13, 1970, memorandum from the ASC president, its officers and legislators. The Committee viewed the ASC proposal favorably and decided to seek Faculty Senate approval at its regular March meeting. Because of the need for student representation on the Senate, the ASC has proposed that three temporary Student Senators be appointed by the ASC president, with ratification by the ASC legislature, to serve on the Senate until regular Student Senators can be elected during spring quarter 1970.

MOTION NO. 640: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. Hawkins, that three temporary Student Senators be appointed to the Faculty Senate by ASC, and that each representative serve as a Senator until such time when the ASC 1970 spring elections decide regular student representation on the Faculty Senate.

MOTION NO. 641: It was moved by Mr. Alexander, seconded by Mr. Comstock, to amend Motion No. 640, adding that the ASC appoint a minimum of two alternates. The motion passed unanimously by a voice vote.

Motion No. 640 then passed by a unanimous voice vote.

- b. The Executive Committee will ask the Senate Code Committee to review the ASC memorandum, and make recommendations to the Senate that will permit compatibility between student representation on the Senate and the Faculty Code.
- c. In response to Harold William's letter to the Executive Committee concerning student advisement, the matter has been referred to the Deans where apparently some adjustments in organization will be made to handle the problem. The Executive Committee will make every effort to closely follow procedural developments in student advising.

Mr. Martin said advisement committee arrangements have not been made yet, but it is planned to set up a student advisement system so that each department will have a set of advisors.

- d. Larry Bundy and John Purcell met with the Executive Committee on Monday, March 2, to discuss the current computer issue on campus. Mr. Bundy and Mr. Purcell explained the committee structure being used, with two advisory committees (one each from administrative data processing and instructional data processing) and a five-member subcommittee. The sub-committee will collect information from all interested parties regarding the future needs for computerized systems on campus, both administrative and instructional, and the alternatives available to the college in developing computer facilities. Mr. Bundy and Mr. Purcell invited a member of the Senate Executive Committee to serve on the sub-committee. The Executive Committee has not yet responded to the invitation.
- e. The Executive Committee wishes to appoint these people to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment:

Dale Comstock, Mathematics
Stan Dudley, Technology and Industrial Education
Neal Gillam, Economics and Political Science
Gene Kosy, Business Ed. & Adm. Management
A. H. Poffenroth, Physical Education

As stated in the Senate minutes of February 11, 1970, the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment will

have two specific areas of concern: 1) faculty salaries and 2) contractual agreements. A more precise charge will be forthcoming from the Executive Committee.

MOTION NO. 642: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. Mitchell, that the Senate ratify the above named appointees to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment.

It was stated that the committee would become effective immediately. Also there was some discussion about the committee members appointed.

The motion passed by a voice vote with Mr. Keller voting Nay.

- f. The Ad Hoc Committee on Department Chairmanships and Faculty Handbook's chairman, Mr. Jakubek, has sent notice that his committee's first report will be submitted to the Executive Committee by April 1, 1970.
- g. It is again time to appoint or reappoint a faculty representative for the Central Washington State College Foundation. Larry Danton is currently the faculty's representative.

MOTION NO. 643: Mr. Harsha moved, seconded by Mr. McCarty, that Larry Danton be reappointed as faculty representative on the Central Washington State College Foundation. The motion passed unanimously.

- 2. Budget Committee--Mr. Berry said the committee was meeting with the Deans and Vice-President on March 5 to set up a salary scale for next year. He also stated that none of the other state colleges had made any progress with salaries.
- 3. Code Committee--no report.

Mr. Nylander announced that the ballots for voting on the amendment to Section XIII, B 4a were in the mail and asked Senators to encourage their department members to vote. The ballots should be returned to the Faculty Senate office by March 31, 1970.

- 4. Curriculum Committee--Mr. Glauert distributed a memorandum to be acted on at the next meeting.
- 5. Personnel Committee--no report.
- 6. Student Affairs Committee--Mr. Leavitt said his committee had been asked to consider collecting books for Asian Students and they had turned this project over to the Spurs, a service organization on campus.

Also he stated that the committee had been deliberating on the current preregistration procedure. He said one area of major concern is that there is little opportunity for a student to request courses or professors that they want and/or need.

Mr. Leavitt asked for a response from the Senate on their possible recommendation that each quarter every student supply a list of the courses, including the desired number of credits, and desired instructor which he would like to take the following quarter.

Some Senators supported this idea, while others thought students wouldn't know what classes they wanted two quarters in advance.

Mr. Leavitt said the committee had also met once with Mr. Dalglish to discuss Policies and Procedures for Disruptive Demonstrations and Other Similar Emergencies. They will be meeting with him again before making a report.

NEW BUSINESS

A. ROTC Report

MOTION NO. 644: Mr. Hawkins moved, seconded by Mr. Burt, that the Senate recommend to President Brooks that the Air Force ROTC contract with Central Washington State College be terminated and that the academic programs and courses which have been related to it be either terminated or organized into other departments.

A rather lengthy discussion followed. Motion No. 644 failed by a roll call vote of 21 Nays, 3 Ayes, and 4 Abstentions.

Ayes: R. Mitchell, D. Burt, C. Hawkins.

Nays: J. Alexander, K. Berry, K. Harsha, H. Williams, I. Easterling, D. Dillard, J. Brooks, G. Leavitt, G. Clark, L. Sparks, J. Putnam, S. Dudley, F. Collins, L. Duncan, D. Ringe, R. McCarty, D. Jakubek, D. Schliesman, H. Michaelson, K. Hammond, R. Davidson.

Abstain: E. Glauert, C. Keller, J. Nylander, D. Comstock.

(Note: Mr. Fadenrecht left before the vote was taken.)

Discussion on the ROTC report was asked to be continued at the next meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Nylander announced that there will be a Senate meeting next Wednesday, March 11, 1970, from 3 - 5 p.m. in Hertz, Room 123.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:50 p.m.

AGENDA
Regular Faculty Senate Meeting
4 p.m. - Wednesday, March 4, 1970
Room 123 - Hertz Hall

- I. ROLL CALL
- II. AGENDA CHANGES AND APPROVAL
- III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES for meetings of February 4, 1970 and February 11, 1970.
- IV. COMMUNICATIONS
 - A. Memorandum from Eugene Kosy
 - B. Letter from Harold Williams
 - C. Letter from Charles Hawkins
 - D. Others
- V. REPORTS
 - A. Special Reports
 - 1. Report from Committee on Grievance Procedures - Mr. Dalglish
(Report only--no discussion at this meeting.)
 - B. Standing Committees
 - 1. Executive
 - a. Consider proposal of ASC regarding seating of student appointees.
 - 2. Budget
 - 3. Code
 - a. Faculty vote on Code amendment due.
 - 4. Curriculum
 - 5. Personnel
 - 6. Student Affairs
- VI. OLD BUSINESS
- VII. NEW BUSINESS
 - A. Entertain motions on ROTC report.
- VIII. ADJOURNMENT

The possibility of the need for a Special Senate Meeting appears strong. Please save Wednesday, March 11 at 3 p.m. for such a meeting if it becomes necessary.

FACULTY SENATE MEETING OF March 4, 1970

ROLL CALL

Senator	Alternate
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alexander, James	<input type="checkbox"/> Bicchieri, Marco
<input type="checkbox"/> Bayless, Stephen	<input type="checkbox"/> Fairbanks, Richard
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Berry, Kenneth	<input type="checkbox"/> Bergstrom, Alan
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Brooks, James	<input type="checkbox"/> Jacobsen, Eldon
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Burt, David	<input type="checkbox"/> King, Donald
<input type="checkbox"/> Carlson, Frank	<input type="checkbox"/> Baldi, Jan
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clark, Glen	<input type="checkbox"/> Johnson, Sheldon
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collins, Frank	<input type="checkbox"/> Benton, Robert
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Comstock, Dale	<input type="checkbox"/> Robinson, Bruce
<input type="checkbox"/> Condit, Colin	<input type="checkbox"/> Nelson, Frank
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Davidson, Robert	<input type="checkbox"/> Liboky, John
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dillard, David	<input type="checkbox"/> Legg, App
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dudley, Stanley	<input type="checkbox"/> Brunner, Gerald
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Duncan, Clint	<input type="checkbox"/> Bowen, Ted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Easterling, Ilda	<input type="checkbox"/> Lipskey, Glenn
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fadenrecht, George	<input type="checkbox"/> Waugh, Shirley
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Glauert, Earl	<input type="checkbox"/> Richards, Kent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hammond, Kenneth	<input type="checkbox"/> Andress, Joel
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Harsha, Kenneth	<input type="checkbox"/> Manship, Darwin
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hawkins, Charles	<input type="checkbox"/> Sessions, Frank
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jakubek, Doris	<input type="checkbox"/> Carlton, Robert
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Keller, Chester	<input type="checkbox"/> Bachrach, Jay
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Leavitt, Gordon	<input type="checkbox"/> DeMerchant, John
<input type="checkbox"/> Lewis, Albert	<input type="checkbox"/> Egan, Katherine
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> McCarty, Richard	<input type="checkbox"/> Harris, Robert
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Michaelson, Helen	<input type="checkbox"/> Moyle, Betty
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mitchell, Robert	<input type="checkbox"/> Murphy, Smith
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nylander, James	<input type="checkbox"/> Hileman, Betty
<input type="checkbox"/> Odell, Elwyn	<input type="checkbox"/> Yee, Robert
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Putnam, Jean	<input type="checkbox"/> Irish, Everett
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ringe, Don	<input type="checkbox"/> Farkas, Steven
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Schliesman, Donald	<input type="checkbox"/> LaBay, Vern
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sparks, Larry	<input type="checkbox"/> Zwanziger, Max
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Williams, Harold	<input type="checkbox"/> Galbraith, Gordon

~~visitors~~ March 4 Senate Meeting

Jim DUNCAN

Mike Thomas

Tom Dalglisk

Stan Bohne

John G. Green

Bernard L. Martin

— 1 2 PhD
— phil. ev
1 — 1 19
—

✓ 2v P3 10
Fuchman

15 1 2

10 Jun "

5 PhD 1 2

2 2 2 0
— — — —
— — — —
— — — —
— — — —

1 M 2 2
2 1 4 1

✓ 1 0 1 1

✓ 4 2 1 2
— — — —

2 3 1 1

✓ 1 1 1
1 0 1

✓ — — —
— — — —
— — — — internally

B: 1 1 1
— — — —
1 1 1

2 — 1 5:15

1 — — — —
2 — — — —
9 — — — —

CENTRAL WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

ELLENSBURG, WASHINGTON

Department of Sociology

James Nylander, D. Ed., Chairman
Faculty Senate
Central Washington State College

Dear Dr. Nylander:

In regard to the "Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee of Central Washington State College," which its Chairman, Dr. Murphy, and the Faculty Senate discussed on February 4, 1970, I wish to present a motion to the Senate. Would you please place this motion on the Senate agenda? Also, would you please circulate the motion and the accompanying rationale to the Senators?

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Charles H. Hawkins", followed by a horizontal line.

Charles H. Hawkins, Senator
Department of Sociology

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

PULLMAN, WASHINGTON 99163

COLLEGE OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS

March 2, 1970

Professor Robert C. Mitchell, Secretary
Faculty Senate
Central Washington State College
Ellensburg, Washington 98926

Dear Professor Mitchell:

Thank you for your letter of January 7, 1970, concerning S. 1290 and
H. R. 9010.

I am enclosing a copy of the letter which our Faculty Executive Committee
sent to Senator McClellan. Similar letters were sent to Senators Jackson
and Magnuson and to Representative May.

Sincerely,



Edna Douglas
Professor of Economics and
Chairman, Faculty Executive Committee

ED:gb
enc.

CENTRAL WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

ELLENSBURG, WASHINGTON
98926

February 27, 1970

Dr. James Nylander, Chairman
Faculty Senate
Campus

Mr. Tim Wing, President
Associated Students of Central
Campus

Gentlemen:

As nearly as possible in the spirit with which J.D. Salinger presented Franny and Zooey to William Shawn, editor of The New Yorker -- that of a one year old son urging a luncheon companion to accept a cool lima bean -- I am transmitting to you the attached final "Report" of the Grievance Procedures Committee.

The Committee, created and charged by both the Faculty Senate and the Student Government Association in the Spring of 1969, labored more or less conscientiously in the months since then to develop a report which would be both credible and capable of being acted upon. The Committee performed its task, I believe, with a mixture of cynicism and optimism, and in this frame of mind hopes that the "Report" will be a basis for action and not merely a consumable delicacy for yet other committees.

Whatever the outcome, the Committee appreciates the opportunity to have served the College and trusts that something good will result from its efforts.

Very truly yours,



Thomas K. Dalglisch, Chairman
Grievance Procedures Committee

cc: President James E. Brooks
Faculty Senate Executive Committee
ASC Executive Board

CENTRAL WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

ELLENSBURG, WASHINGTON
98926

March 4, 1970

Dr. James G. Nylander
Chairman, Faculty Senate
Campus

Dear Jim:

You have conveyed some faculty concern about procedures for the newly adopted contract agreements. The Deans also have been concerned about the most appropriate timing for awarding and conditions placed in the forms. After having cleared with President Brooks the Deans should like to send: first, letters of intent similar to those utilized the previous years; second, after all such procedures as reappointment, tenure, promotion, special assignments and year salary, the contract agreement form would only then be sent. The forms containing signatures by the faculty member and the President would become the mutually binding agreement.

The letters of intent would bind the college to the specific factor(s) included in the letters in the sense that these have carried out the conditions of the Faculty Code. This means that those faculty members who might have objected to contracts which could not at this time contain the specific salary or possible advance in rank can hold return of the contract since a complete and inclusive contract superseding any earlier one will be issued by April 15. If promotions can be determine significantly earlier than this date letters of notice will be sent.

It is the intention of the Deans and any other appropriate employing administrator that this procedure will be followed in subsequent years. The date of the final agreement form would necessarily change according to our knowledge of legislative appropriation.

A memorandum to this effect is being sent to all faculty members. New faculty, of course, are being issued contracts since specific salaries are negotiated at the time of their employment.

Sincerely yours,



Eldon E. Jacobsen
Acting Vice President for
Academic Affairs

cc: President Brooks

Dean Green, Dean Martin, Dean Witherspoon, Dr. Waddle, Mr. Bohne

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Dr. James G. Nylander, Associate Professor
Physical Education

FROM: Eugene J. Kosy, Chairman
Department of Business Education
and Administrative Management

DATE: February 10, 1970

The following quotation from the minutes of the last Department meeting of Business Education and Administrative Management are self explanatory.

"After discussion by Department members of the 'blank contracts' being issued as letters of renewal for the next academic year, Dr. MacDonald (seconded by Dr. Byrd) moved that, in the interest of faculty morale, the Faculty Senate be requested to make a study of this problem and make appropriate recommendations to the Administration. The motion passed unanimously."

fmg

cc: Dr. Kenneth K. Harsha

CENTRAL WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS

ELLENSBURG, WASHINGTON


98926

February 20, 1970

Dr. James Nylander, Chairman
Faculty Senate
Campus

Dear Jim,

After criticism on our student advisement program from the accreditation team and from our own trustees, I believe we should take some action. My proposal would be to have a committee appointed by the Senate Executive Committee, Deans Council, and ASC, to study the problem of student advisement and to make recommendations.


Harold S. Williams
Professor of Economics

HSW / p

Handwritten notes at bottom right:
Received
By [unclear]
Date [unclear]
March 20, 1970

Green

MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans' Council
Senate Executive Committee
All College Curriculum Committee

FROM: John A. Green, Dean of Education

DATE: February 16, 1970

SUBJECT: Establishing an Academic Council

I propose that we consider enlarging the Deans' Council to include the Senate Executive Committee and the chairman of the All College Curriculum Committee. Such Academic Council would then be the final authority in academic matters, subject only to veto by the President or by general vote of the faculty.

I am asking this to be placed on the Deans' Council agenda for action and subsequent consideration by the President's Council and the Faculty Senate.

JAG/bjc

John A. Green

Nyländer

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Board of Trustees
The University of California
San Diego

FROM: John F. Guerin, Vice President

DATE: August 10, 1973

Attention to memo of January 16 regarding establishing an Academic Council.

Due to an oversight on my part, I failed to request that the Director of the Library be added as a member of the proposed Academic Council. In considering this proposal, please ask the advice of the Director of the Library to those included in the proposed system.

JAG:bm

John F. Guerin

Executive Committee Report, March 4, 1970

1. The Committee discussed the February 13, 1970, memorandum from the A.S.C. president, its officers and legislators. The Committee viewed the A.S.C. proposal favorably and decided to seek Faculty Senate approval at its regular March meeting. Because of the need for student representation on the Senate, the A.S.C. has ~~recommended~~ that three temporary Student Senators be appointed by the A.S.C. president, with ratification by the A.S.C. legislature, to serve on the Senate until regular Student Senators can be elected during spring quarter 1970.

Motion
In response to the A.S.C. request, the Executive Committee decided to make the following motion: that three temporary Student Senators be appointed to the Faculty Senate by A.S.C., and that each representative serve as a senator until such time when the A.S.C. 1970 spring elections decide regular student representation on the Faculty Senate.

The executive committee will ask the Senate Code Committee to review the A.S.C. memorandum, and make recommendations to the Senate that will permit compatibility between student representation on the Senate and the Faculty Code.

~~2. The Executive Committee discussed the Deans' Council enlargement proposal presented by Dean Green. The proposition considers establishing an Academic Council, which would consist of the present Deans' Council membership, the Senate Executive Committee (all members), the chairman of the All College Curriculum Committee, and the Directory of Library. According to Dr. Green, the Academic Council would be the final authority in academic matters, subject only to veto by the President or by general vote of the faculty. The Executive Committee took no action on the proposal.~~

3. In response to Harold William's letter to the Executive Committee concerning student advisement, the matter has been referred to the Deans where apparently some adjustments in organization will be ~~considered in handling~~ the problem. The Executive Committee will make every effort to closely follow procedural developments in student advising.

4. Larry Bundy and John Purcell met with the Executive Committee on Monday, March 2 to discuss the current computer issue on campus. Drs. Bundy and Purcell explained the committee structure being used, with two advisory committees (one each from administrative data processing and instructional data processing) and a five-member sub-committee. The sub-committee will collect information from all interested parties regarding the future needs for computerized systems on campus, both administrative and instructional, and the alternatives available to the college in developing computer facilities. Drs. Bundy and Purcell invited a member of the Senate Executive Committee to serve on the sub-committee. The Executive Committee has not yet responded to the invitation.

5. The Executive Committee wishes to appoint these people to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment:

Dale Comstock, Mathematics
 Stan Dudley, Technology and Industrial Education
 Neal Gillam, Economics and Political Science
 Gene Kosy, Business Ed. & Adm. Management
 A. H. Poffenroth, Physical Education

As stated in the Senate minutes of February 11, 1970, the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment will have two specific areas of concern: 1) faculty salaries and 2) contractual agreements. A more precise charge will be forthcoming from the Executive Committee.

Motion
 (Motion: Move the Faculty Senate ratify the above named appointees to the Ad Hoc Committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment.)

6. The Ad Hoc Committee on Department Chairmanships and Faculty Handbook's chairman, Mr. Jakubek, has sent notice that his committee's first report will be submitted to the Executive Committee by April 1, 1970.

7. It is again time to appoint or reappoint a faculty representative for the Central Washington State College Foundation. Larry Danton is currently the faculty's representative.

Motion
 The Executive Committee would like to move that Larry Danton be reappointed as faculty representative on the Central Washington State College Foundation (Board).

M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Faculty Senate

FROM: Senate Curriculum Committee

DATE: March 4, 1970

RE: A motion on prerequisites and a recommendation on procedure for new course additions which appear to duplicate courses already offered.

The All College Curriculum Committee (ACCC) in the fall of 1969 suggested in a memorandum distributed to all faculty directly involved with curriculum (department chairman, administrators, the ACCC, the Senate Curriculum Committee) that special attention be given to such matters as "prerequisites," "duplication of content and activities (within or without departments)," and the "relation of courses to other departments." Then in a report issued by the ACCC in the fall of 1969 on "curriculum committee procedures" and "policy statements," the ACCC laid down some guidelines on these matters. On prerequisites the report stated:

"Departments must justify course prerequisites according to their value and their purpose. Each department must take a close look at its prerequisites or lack of them. In many areas, inter-departmental cooperation will be absolutely necessary."

On duplication of content and activities the report read:

"Cross-listing of a course among two or more departments shall be justified if each department involved can show need to the appropriate Dean and Curriculum Committee to include the course in their departmental listing.

Normally, any course which is cross-listed among two or more departments shall be offered during a particular quarter by only one of the departments. Any deviation from this procedure should be decided by the departments involved."

Also the report included the following statement on maximum number of credits for a major.

"Arts and Sciences Program B.A. degree requirements. A concentration of 60-75 credits which may be satisfied by a major or a major and minor, or a major and courses in other fields as prescribed by the Major department."

Although other matters were also suggested as policy on curriculum, to a large extent it was these matters which the Senate Curriculum Committee turned to again and again in its review of ACCC proposals. After deliberation the Senate Curriculum Committee in its memoranda of January 5, February 11, recommended that footnotes be added in the catalog for the (A/S) B.A. and B.S. Chemistry Major; and the (A/S) and (T/Ed) Industrial Technology Major. Also the Committee raised the issue of duplication of courses.

Memo
SCC March 4, 1970
Page 2

Since the above recommendations concerning prerequisites for the Physics, Chemistry and Industrial Technology Major and the Psychology Minor have been approved by the Senate, the Curriculum Committee feels it appropriate to recommend adoption of the following motion which would apply to all majors and minors.

Prerequisites for courses in majors, minors, and professional curricula must be listed or appear in a footnote in the College Catalog wherever these curricula are listed, unless they appear already as required courses. Such prerequisites are to be listed by department, number, title, and credit hours.

With regard to procedure for new course additions which appear to duplicate courses already offered, the Committee has suggested the following to the administration.

Whenever a new course addition appears to duplicate previously existing courses in the catalog, it is recommended that the dean or deans consult with the chairmen of the departments concerned prior to endorsing such new courses for action by the All College Curriculum Committee.

C E N T R A L W A S H I N G T O N S T A T E C O L L E G E

March 4, 1970

Bernard Martin
Dean of Arts and Sciences
Barge Hall, Campus

Dear Dean Martin:

As indicated in the Faculty Senate minutes of February 11, two new course additions, Geology 445-Principles of Geomorphology, and Sociology 307-Social Psychology, were omitted from motion 634 which passed the Senate. At a subsequent meeting of the Senate Curriculum Committee on March 3, 1970, the problem of apparent course duplications was discussed and the following procedure was recommended:

Whenever a new course addition appears to duplicate previously existing courses in the catalog, the dean or deans consult with the chairmen of the departments concerned prior to endorsing such new courses for action by the All College Curriculum Committee.

Respectfully,

Earl T. Glauert
Chairman, Senate Curriculum
Committee

17W

cc: Vice-President Jacobsen
Dean Green
Professors Shrader, Newman, Kaatz, Ringe, Olson.

SENATE MEMBERSHIP IN RANDOM ORDER NUMBER 022
1969-1970

	SENATOR	AYE ₃	NAY ₂	ABSTAIN ₁	ALTERNATE
19	EARL GLAUERT			✓	KENT RICHARDS
05	JAMES ALEXANDER		✓		MARCO BICCHIERI
24	CHESTER KELLER			✓	JAY BUCHRACH
11	KENNETH BERRY		✓		ALAN BERGSTROM
08	KENNETH HARSHA		✓		DARWIN MANSHIP
10	HAROLD WILLIAMS		✓		GORDON GALBRAITH
16	ILDA EASTERLING		✓		GLENN LIPSKEY
06	STEPHEN BAYLESS				RICHARD FAIRBANKS
25	JAMES NYLANDER			✓	BETTY HILEMAN
27	ROBERT MITCHELL	✓			SMITH MURPHY
03	DAVID DILLARD		✓		APP LEGG
34	JAMES BROOKS		✓		ELDON JACOBSEN
23	GORDON LEAVITT		✓		JOHN DEMERCHANT
07	GLEN CLARK		✓		SHELDON JOHNSON
29	LARRY SPARKS		✓		MAX ZWANZIGER
26	JEAN PUTNAM		✓		EVERETT IRISH
13	FRANK CARLSON				JAN BALDI
28	COLIN CONDIT				FRANK NELSON
14	DAVID BURT	✓			DONALD KING
31	CHARLES HAWKINS	✓			FRANK SESSIONS
33	STANLEY DUDLEY		✓		GERALD BRUNNER
15	FRANK COLLINS		✓		ROBERT BENTON
09	L. C. DUNCAN		✓		TED BOWEN
18	DON RINGE		✓		STEVEN FARKAS
22	DALE COMSTOCK			✓	BRUCE ROBINSON
04	RICHARD MCCARTY		✓		ROBERT HARRIS
12	DORIS JAKUBEK		✓		ROBERT CARLTON
30	ELWYN ODELL				BOB YEE
02	DONALD SCHLIESMAN		✓		VERN LABAY
20	HELEN MICHAELSON		✓		BETTY MOYLE
32	ALBERT LEWIS				KATHERINE EGAN
17	KENNETH HAMMOND		✓		JOEL ANDRESS
01	ROBERT DAVIDSON		✓		JOHN LIBOKY
21	GEORGE FADENRECHT				SHIRLEY WAUGH

MEMORANDUM

TO: Faculty Senate

FROM: James Nylander
Chairman, Faculty Senate

DATE: February 26, 1970

RE: ROTC Study Committee

Charles Hawkins, Sociology, has submitted a request to present a motion to the Senate pertaining to the ROTC program. Mr. Hawkins' motion and rationale is attached to this memo and I encourage you to study it before the next Senate meeting.

Also, you will find attached a copy of a memo from Dean Green to the Dean's Council. This memo contains recommendations of a Dean's Council sub-committee.

A further comment on the ROTC situation is made in the attached memo from the Executive Committee of the Senate.

It is possible that we will not get to the ROTC matter in this meeting. If not, it will be taken up at the next meeting.

Another matter for your attention will be consideration of the Associated Students of Central (ASC) proposal that you received last week. The Executive Committee will recommend and move, during that committee's report, to accept item "D" of the ASC proposal to be implemented immediately. Further the Executive Committee is asking the Personnel Committee to study items A, B, and C of that proposal and recommend appropriate Senate action.

The Board of Trustees of the University of Washington should that the Air Force ROTC program with Central Washington State College be terminated and that the academic program and courses which have been related to it be either terminated or organized in other departments.

Individuals (not part of the motion):

These actions are with criticism of Mr. Colonel McCarthy, Major Harris, Mr. Fisher or Mr. Hoffman. Their integrity, competence and effort are unquestioned. Nor is it a criticism of the United States Air Force in its vital role of national defense.

The recommendation of the "Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee at Central Washington State College," dated December 5, 1969 combines a recommendation regarding the ROTC program with a recommendation regarding the civilian aerospace program. However, if these programs are separate, they should be treated separately by the University Senate; if the civilian aerospace program is in fact a part of the Air Force program, it also should be terminated.

1. The basic difficulty of such programs is the threat to the college's autonomy. In general, we should avoid integrating external agencies within the college organization. There are many institutions which might wish such an agency: the armed services, The Boeing Company, other firms, the State of Washington Department of Institutions, a religious institution. All institutional infiltration should be resisted.

2. Some people have said that the college will help to liberalize the Air Force. They have overlooked the opposite effect: the college is less likely to liberalize the Air Force than is the Air Force to militarize the college.

The college is not in a financial position at this time to be a permanent host to programs which do not have wide relevance to our instructional, research or service goals. The ROTC program does provide \$50 per month support to about 20 junior students and 20 senior students, which is valuable. Hopefully, the termination of the program will avoid financial hardship to such students. However, the Air Force does not meet the college's costs of administration and facilities for the ROTC program.

3. Some students enter the ROTC program because of the threat of being drafted. The college should help students in their pursuit of an education free from interference by the draft. However, both the ROTC program and the United States' universal military training (the draft) must stand or fall on their own merits. The college should not give indirect support to universal military training by providing a permanent ROTC program.

The Air Force, as well as the other armed services, is properly concerned with training professionalism in its officers and enlisted men. Quite reasonably, advancement is part of this goal. However, this does not imply that an ROTC program on a large campus is the best means. On the contrary, the armed services could provide scholarships to those individuals it selects for advanced schooling, as do many other institutions.

4. The only professional school the college has is that in education and, since we evolved from a teacher's college, we are well qualified to maintain it. However, we do not have a law school, medical school, social work school or engineering schools. Therefore, the ROTC program, in that it is a professional school, does not fit well into the college's organization. Examples of the problems it may create are: the use of military materials, although completely appropriate to the military professions, contradicts the college's value on free discussion; the basing of student recommendations in part on political considerations, which probably is necessary in the military professions, contradicts the college's value on tolerance of political dissent among students.

Charles Hawkins

MEMORANDUM

TO: Deans' Council

FROM: John A. Green, Dean of Education

DATE: February 20, 1970

SUBJECT: ROTC Committee Recommendation

February 20th, Dr. Jacobson, Dr. Murphy, Col. McGarty, and I met to review the ROTC Committee recommendation and as a result of that meeting suggest that the report generally be approved by the Deans' Council but that their recommendation concerning military instructor teaching courses be modified in the following way. During the junior year, students be required to take a one hour seminar each academic quarter taught by military instructors for a total of three credit hours. During the senior year, students be required to take three two-hour seminars taught by military instructors for a total of six credit hours, making a total of nine credit hours to be taught by military personnel.

Since some of the material pertinent to the military careers for which these young people are preparing is not included in the regular civilian courses offered on campus, that material would be included in these two-year-long seminars. The rest of the minor which these ROTC candidates would complete should include the following required courses:

Speech 201, Public Speaking: Practice and Criticism.	4 credits
Bus. Ad. 380, Management Decision Making.	5 credits
Psy. 455, Personnel Psychology.	4 credits
Aerospace 201, Introduction to Astronautics.	4 credits
TOTAL	24 credits

Students would also be required to take the equivalent work experience, but should receive no credit as recommended by the ROTC committee. Thus, the only essential point in which the ROTC committee differs from the ROTC Committee recommendation is the requirement to include the two-year-long seminars for credit.

JAG:bfn



M E M O R A N D U M

TO: Deans' Council

FROM: Faculty Senate Executive Committee

RE: R.O.T.C.

DATE: February 27, 1970

We agree that the modification of the recommendation of the ROTC Committee suggested by Dean Green is still in keeping with the spirit of that recommendation. However, we feel that a very important point was missed.

"Whatever is the above case, Air Force ROTC as presently constituted at Central Washington State College occupies an anomalous position on the college campus. The College is prevented by contract from exercising any administrative control over the course content of ROTC courses. The College has only limited opportunity to participate in the selection of instructors for ROTC courses."¹

To obviate some of these problems, if ROTC is to be retained, it should not have departmental status regardless of whatever arrangements are made with respect to the program.

¹Report of the Ad Hoc ROTC Study Committee At Central Washington State College, December 5, 1969, p. 4.

FACULTY SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES
February 25, 1970

1. Contracts or agreement forms that have been tendered this year to untenured faculty were discussed. It was agreed that a motion will be made at the next Senate meeting proposing that the college administration be requested to withdraw the contracts in the form that has been used as it places the faculty members in an untenable position.
2. There was discussion over the problems being encountered as a result of the purchase of the RCA Computer due to go into operation July 1, 1970. Instruction and research interests on campus believe that their programs have not and are not receiving the consideration due them if the current plans are carried out. Dr. Bundy and Dr. Purcell will be asked to the March 2 Executive Committee meeting to discuss this problem.
3. The motion and rationale concerning ROTC proposed by Mr. Hawkins for the next Senate meeting will be sent to all Senators before the March 4th meeting. A memo from Dean Green to the Deans' Council carrying a recommendation of a Deans' Council subcommittee and a memo from the Senate Executive Committee will also be sent.
4. Names were suggested for membership to the ad hoc committee on Conditions of Faculty Employment. Nominees will be contacted before the next meeting.
5. A letter from Harold Williams was read suggesting appointment of a committee to study student advisement. Members of the administration, responsible for student advisement, will be contacted to determine if measures are being taken to strengthen the student advisement program.
6. The Executive Committee will recommend approval of part "D" of the ASC proposals, e.g., the section pertaining to temporary seating of three student appointees until a regular election can be held this spring. Parts A, B, and C will be referred to the Personnel Committee for recommendations on appropriate action.
7. Board of Trustees action during the January Board meeting pertaining to reappointment of certain faculty members for their fourth probationary year will be referred to the Personnel Committee for study.